

# Rose Creek Watershed Opportunities Assessment

---

## Table of Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Study Area	1-1
1.3 Rose Creek Watershed Alliance	1-2
<b>2 Action Recommendations</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Recommendations for Proactive Conservation in the Rose Creek Watershed	2-2
2.1.1 Conservation Banking is Proactive	2-2
2.1.2 Conservation Banking in the Rose Creek Watershed	2-3
2.2 Recommendations for Biological Resources	2-4
2.2.1 Recommendations for Enhancing the Connection to Mission Bay	2-5
2.2.2 Recommendations for Controlling Invasive Exotic Species	2-6
2.2.3 Recommendations for Restoring and Enhancing Native Habitats	2-12
2.2.4 Recommendations for Protecting and Enhancing Wildlife Corridors	2-17
2.2.5 Recommendations for Land Management and Ownership	2-22
2.2.6 Recommendations to Protect and Enhance Native Plants and Animals	2-25
2.2.7 Recommendations for Environmental Education	2-26
2.3 Recommendations for Cultural Resources	2-26
2.3 Recommendations for Cultural and Historic Resources	2-28
2.3.1 Recommendations for Documentation and Protection of Cultural Resources	2-28
2.3.2 Recommendations to Assess Potential Effects on Cultural Resources by other actions	2-29
2.3.3 Recommendations for Cultural Resources Interpretive Opportunities	2-30
2.4 Recommendations for Public Safety	2-32
2.4.1 Recommendations for Fire Prevention and Management	2-32
2.4.1.1 City of San Diego Fire Programs	2-32
2.4.1.2 MCAS Miramar Fire Programs	2-36
2.4.1.3 Fire Risk Within the Watershed	2-36
2.4.1.4 Recommendations to Manage Fire Risk	2-36
2.4.2 Recommendations to Reduce Landslides	2-41
2.4.3 Recommendations to Reduce Illegal Activities on Open Space Lands	2-45
2.5 Recommendations for Recreational Trails	2-48
2.5.1 Recommendations for improving Access to the Open Space System	2-50
2.5.2 Recommendations for improving Access within the Open Space System	2-55
2.5.3 Recommendations for Creating Regional Recreational Connections	2-60
2.5.4 Regional Trail Linkages and Loops	2-68
2.5.5 Creating Safe and Legal Railroad Track Crossings	2-70
2.6 Recommendations for Water Resources	2-74
2.6.1 Recommendations for Hydrology and Hydraulics	2-74
2.6.2 Recommendations to Reduce Erosion	2-78
2.6.2.1 Factors in Erosion Control	2-79
2.6.3 Recommendations to Modify Flood Control Channels	2-86
2.6.4 Recommendations related to Water Pollution	2-88
<b>3.0 Watershed Overview</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Physical Characteristics	3-1
3.1.1 Elevation	3-1
3.1.2 Slope	3-1
3.1.3 Precipitation	3-2
3.1.4 Soils	3-2
3.1.5 Geology	3-3
3.1.6 Geologic Hazards	3-4
3.2 Biological Resources	3-5
3.2.1 Planning Efforts	3-5
3.2.2 Native Plant Communities	3-7
3.2.3 Wildlife Corridors	3-11
3.2.3.1 Wildlife Corridor Issues	3-11
3.2.4 Special Status Species	3-12
3.2.5 Invasive Exotic Species	3-12
3.2.6 Restoration, Enhancement and Mitigation Efforts	3-19
3.3 Water Resources	3-20
3.3.1 Streams	3-21
3.3.2 Ponds	3-21
3.3.3 Human Effects on Rose Creek	3-21
3.3.4 Flood Hazards	3-23
3.3.5 Hydrologic Modifications	3-25

## Table of Contents

3.4 Cultural Resources	3-31
3.4.1 Prehistoric	3-31
3.4.2 Historic	3-32
3.4.3 Cultural Resources Inventory	3-32
3.5 Recreational Resources	3-34
3.5.1 Parks	3-34
3.5.2 Trails	3-36
3.5.2.1 Bikeway Facilities	3-36
3.5.2.2 Planned Bikeway Facilities	3-36
3.5.2.3 Official Trails	3-38
3.5.2.4 Un-official Trails	3-38
3.5.2.5 Trail Amenities	3-38
3.5.2.6 Park Accessibility	3-39
3.6 Land Uses & Planning	3-41
3.6.1 Land Use Jurisdictions	3-41
3.6.1.1 MCAS Miramar	3-42
3.6.1.2 City of San Diego	3-42
3.6.2 Land Ownership	3-42
3.6.3 Existing Land Uses	3-45
3.6.4 Planned Land Uses	3-45
3.7 Utilities	3-45
3.7.1 Water System	3-45
3.7.2 Sewer System	3-46
3.7.3 Storm Water Conveyance	3-46
3.7.4 Electrical Distribution	3-50
3.7.5 Gas Distribution	3-50
3.7.6 Freeways and Roads	3-50
3.7.7 Rail Service	3-52
3.8 Water Quality	3-53
3.8.1 Sewer Overflows	3-54
3.8.2 Identified Water Quality Issues	3-55
<b>4 Supplemental Information on Recommended Actions</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Public Outreach and Education	4-1
4.2 Proactive Conservation	4-2
4.3 Biological Resources	4-4
4.3.1 Invasive Exotic Species Management Zones	4-4
4.3.2 Potential Wetland Restoration Sites	4-5
4.2.3 Enhancements for individual species	4-8
4.3 Cultural Resources	4-11
4.3.1 Interpretive Panels	4-11
4.3.2 Cultural Resource Management Measures	4-12
4.4 Public Safety	4-14
4.5 Recreational Trails	4-15
4.5.1 Descriptions of Proposed New Trails	4-15
4.5.2 Trail System Connectors	4-17
4.5.3 Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridges	4-19
4.5.4 Regional Recreational Connections	4-21
4.6 Water Resources	4-25
4.6.1 Streambed and Bank Restoration Techniques	4-25
4.6.2 Gully Restoration Techniques	4-28
4.6.3 Outfall Restoration Techniques	4-30
4.6.4 Concrete Flood Control Channel Restoration Techniques	4-31
4.6.5 Techniques to Reduce Trail Erosion	4-33
4.6.6 Storm Water Runoff Reduction Techniques	4-34
<b>References</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>Appendix A: City of San Diego MOU</b>	

# Rose Creek Watershed Opportunities Assessment

---

## List of Tables

Table 1-1: Rose Creek Watershed Alliance Members	1-2
Table 3-1: Soil Series	3-2
Table 3-2: Native Plant Communities	3-8
Table 3-3: Special Status Species and Potential for Occurrence	3-13
Table 3-3: Special Status Species and Potential for Occurrence (continued)	3-14
Table 3-3: Special Status Species and Potential for Occurrence (continued)	3-15
Table 3-4: Mapped Invasive Species	3-15
Table 3-5: Invasive Exotic Plant Species	3-18
Table 3-6: Invasive Animal Species	3-19
Table 3-7: Impervious Percentage by Land Use Category within the RCW	3-28
Table 3-8: Percent Impervious by Sub-basin and Basin, 2000	3-29
Table 3-9: Known Archaeological Sites	3-33
Table 3-8: Average ADTs by Road Classification	3-52
Table 4-1: Matrix of Cultural Resources and Management Actions	4-13
Table 4-1: Matrix of Cultural Resources and Management Actions - Cont.	4-14
Table 4-2: Summary of Crime Statistics within the lower Rose Creek Watershed 2003-2005	4-15

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Regional Overview	1-1
Figure 1-2: Planning Basins	1-2
Figure 2-1: Proposed Rose Creek Marsh (Mission Bay Park Master Plan, 2002)	2-5
Figure 2-2: Invasive Plant Removal Efforts	2-7
Figure 2-3: Invasive Plant Management Zones within Upper Rose Canyon	2-9
Figure 2-4: Invasive Plant Management Zones within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-10
Figure 2-5: Invasive Plant Management Zones within lower Rose Creek	2-11
Figure 2-6: Wetland and Upland Restoration Potential within Upper Rose Canyon	2-14
Figure 2-7: Wetland and Upland Restoration Potential within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-15
Figure 2-8: Wetland and Upland Restoration Potential within lower Rose Creek	2-16
Figure 2-9: Impaired Wildlife Corridors within Upper Rose Canyon	2-18
Figure 2-10: Impaired Wildlife Corridors within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-19
Figure 2-11: Impaired Wildlife Corridors within lower Rose Creek	2-20
Figure 2-12: Aerial diagram of Miramar Road Wildlife Crossing	2-21
Figure 2-13: Potential Land Acquisitions	2-24
Figure 2-14: Schools within the Rose Creek Watershed	2-27
Figure 2-15: Proposed Interpretive Panels within Upper Rose Canyon	2-31
Figure 2-16: Proposed Interpretive Panels within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-33
Figure 2-17: Proposed Interpretive Panels within lower Rose Creek	2-34
Figure 2-18: Aerial Map showing RC watershed fire line imposed over watershed line	2-35
Figure 2-19: Preliminary Physical Fire Risk within Upper Rose Canyon	2-37
Figure 2-20: Preliminary Physical Fire Risk within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-38
Figure 2-21: Preliminary Physical Fire Risk within lower Rose Creek	2-39
Figure 2-22: Steep slopes with Iceplant within Upper Rose Canyon	2-42
Figure 2-23: Steep slopes with Iceplant within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-43
Figure 2-24: Steep slopes with Iceplant within lower Rose Creek	2-44
Figure 2-25: Trail Access Points within Upper Rose Canyon	2-52
Figure 2-26: Trail Access Points within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-53
Figure 2-27: Trail Access Points within lower Rose Creek	2-54
Figure 2-28: Access Issues within Upper Rose Canyon	2-56
Figure 2-29: Access Issues within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-57
Figure 2-30: Access Issues within lower Rose Creek	2-58
Figure 2-31: Regional Class 1 Paths in Upper Rose Canyon	2-61
Figure 2-32: Regional Class 1 Paths in Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-62
Figure 2-32: Regional Class 1 Paths in lower Rose Creek	2-63
Figure 2-34: lower Rose Creek Class I Path – Alternative A	2-65
Figure 2-35: lower Rose Creek Class I Path – Alternative B	2-66
Figure 2-36: Sample Cross-section	2-67
Figure 2-37: Grand Avenue to Mission Bay Class I Improvements	2-68
Figure 2-38: Connecting Marian Bear Memorial Natural Park and Tecolote Canyon Natural Park	2-69
Figure 2-39: Recommended Improvements to the At-grade Railroad Crossing	2-71
Figure 2-40: Plan view of Bridge Alternatives	2-72
Figure 2-41: Cross Section of Northern Bridge Alternative	2-73
Figure 2-42: Cross Section of Central Bridge Alternative	2-73
Figure 2-43: Cross Section of Southern Bridge Alternative	2-73
Figure 2-44: Impervious Surfaces in 1966	2-76

## Executive Summary

Figure 2-45: Impervious Surfaces in 2004	2-77
Figure 2-46: Cross-section depicting a more natural stream channel environment	2-80
Figure 2-47: Cross-section depicting an eroded stream channel environment	2-80
Figure 2-48: Areas of Erosion within Upper Rose Canyon	2-83
Figure 2-49: Areas of Erosion within Upper San Clemente Canyon	2-84
Figure 2-50: Areas of Erosion within lower Rose Creek	2-85
Figure 2-51: Concrete flood control channels	2-87
Figure 3-1: 3d view w/slopes draped	3-1
Figure 3-2: Soils Series	3-3
Figure 3-3: Geologic Hazards	3-4
Figure 3-4: MSCP Biological Core & Linkage Areas	3-6
Figure 3-5: MSCP Multiple Habitat Planning Areas	3-6
Figure 3-6: MCAS Miramar INRMP Management Areas	3-7
Figure 3-7: Native Plant Communities	3-9
Figure 3-8: Wildlife Corridors	3-10
Figure 3-9: Special Status Species	3-16
Figure 3-10: Invasive Exotic Plant Species	3-17
Figure 3-11: Mitigation Sites	3-20
Figure 3-12: Surface Water Features	3-22
Figure 3-13: 1935 Aerial photograph of Rose Creek	3-23
Figure 3-14: 100-yr Floodplain	3-24
Figure 3-15: Hydromodifications	3-26
Figure 3-16: Impervious Surfaces	3-30
Figure 3-17: Percent Impervious by Sub-Basin	3-31
Figure 3-18: Parks and Open Space	3-35
Figure 3-19: Existing Bikeway Facilities	3-37
Figure 3-21: City of San Diego – City of Villages & Community Plan Areas	3-43
Figure 3-22: Land Ownership	3-44
Figure 3-23: 2002 Existing Land Use	3-47
Figure 3-24: 2020 Planned Land Use	3-48
Figure 3-25: Reclaimed Water and SDCWA Aqueduct	3-49
Figure 3-26: Sewer System	3-49
Figure 3-27: Storm Water Conveyance	3-50
Figure 3-28: Gas and Electrical Distribution	3-51
Figure 3-29: Major Transportation Systems	3-51
Figure 3-30: Sanitary Sewer Overflows	3-54
Figure 3-31: City of San Diego Dry and Wet Weather Monitoring Stations	3-56
Figure 4-1: Proposed Rose Creek Conservation Bank Area	4-3
Figure 4-2: Invasive Plant Management Zones	4-4
Figure 4-3: Wetland Restoration Opportunities	4-5
Figure 4-4: Proposed Locations of Cultural Interpretive Panels	4-11
Figure 4-5: Proposed New Trail Segments	4-16
Figure 4-6: Proposed Trail System Connectors	4-18
Figure 4-7: Proposed Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridges	4-20
Figure 4-8: Cross-Sectional Studies of Proposed Class 1 Multi-Use Path	4-21
Figure 4-9: Potential locations for streambed and bank restoration	4-25
Figure 4-9: Eroding Tributaries, Gullies, and Storm Drain / Culvert Outfalls	4-28
Figure 4-10: Cross-section of restored creek channel within existing channel footprint	4-32
Figure 4-11: Cross-section of restored creek channel with expanded channel footprint	4-32
Figure 4-12: Vegetation Management within the Floodplain	4-33
Figure 4-13: Locations of Trail Erosion	4-33
Figure 4-14: Diagram of Knick	4-34
Figure 4-15: Diagram of Rolling Grade Dip	4-34

# Rose Creek Watershed Opportunities Assessment

---

*This page left intentionally blank for double-sided printing*